

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,983	10/09/2003	Chun Ho Fan	50626.60	50626.60 8846	
35510	7590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
KEATING & BENNETT, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE			ANDUJAR, I	ANDUJAR, LEONARDO	
SUITE 850	NODORO DILIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN,	VA 22102		2826	2826	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		<u>r</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,983	FAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonardo Andújar	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this cold (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	<del></del>		
· <del>-</del>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	03 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected		er.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Acknowledgment**


1. The amendment filed on 10/24/2005 has been entered. The present Office action is made with all the suggested amendments being fully considered. Accordingly, pending in this Office action are claims 11-16.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Joshi (US 6,627,991).
- 4. Regarding claim 11, Mita (e.g. fig. 5) shows a integrated circuit package comprising: a first lead frame 104; a second lead frame 100 laminated to a portion of a side of the first lead frame (e.g. back portion of the right side) in order to create a multi layer lead frame; and a semiconductor 400 mounted to another portion of the side of the lead frame (top portion of the right side); and a plurality of contact balls mounted on the semiconductor die.



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joshi (US 6,627,991) in view Takekawa et al. (US 4,714,952).
- 7. Regarding claim 16, Joshi shows most aspects of the instant invention except for the semiconductor die coated with at least one of titanium, tungsten, gold or a combination thereof for soldering. However, Takekawa discloses that a semiconductor die can be coated with gold to stabilize the potential to be produced at the lower surface of the substrate (col. 10/lls. 52-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the bottom surface of the semiconductor die disclosed by Joshi with gold in order to stabilize the potential to be produced at the lower surface of the substrate as taught by Takekawa.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 12 and 15 are allowed.

the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 13 and 14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

Page 4

## Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-16 have been considered but are they are not persuasive.
- 11. Applicants argues that Joshi does not teaches a second leadframe laminated to a portion of a side of said first leadframe thereby providing a multi-layer laminated leadframe; a semiconductor die mounted another portion of said side of said first leadframe. Nevertheless, Joshi (e.g. fig. 5) shows a second lead frame 100 laminated to a portion of a side of the first lead frame (e.g. back portion of the right side) in order to create a multi layer lead frame and a semiconductor 400 mounted to another portion of the side of the lead frame (e.g. top portion of the right side). Therefore, Joshi clearly teaches a second leadframe and a semiconductor die that are disposed on the same side of the lead frame 104.

### Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/681,983

Art Unit: 2826

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leonardo Andújar whose telephone number is 571-272-

1912. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon through Thu from 9:00 AM to

7:30 PM EST.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Leonardo Andúja

Primary Examine

Art Unit 2826